

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/877,248	09/877,248 06/11/2001		Jang-hoon Yoo	1293.1213 7975	
49455	7590	07/29/2005		EXAMINER	
•		& BUI, LLP	HINDI, NABIL Z		
1400 EYE S' SUITE 300	IREEI, N	NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20005	2655		

DATE MAILED: 07/29/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Astion Occurre	09/877,248	YOO ET AL.				
(Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		NABIL Z. HINDI	2655				
The Period for Re	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status	۰						
1)⊠ Res	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 June 2005.						
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Sind	·						
clos	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of	of Claims						
4)⊠ Clai	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-30 and 32-34</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) (4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Clai							
6)⊠ Clai	m(s) <u>9,10 and 20</u> is/are rejected.		4				
	Claim(s) <u>14,21 and 24</u> is/are objected to.						
8)⊡ Clai	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application F	Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) <u></u> The	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
	eferences Cited (PTO-892) raftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.					
3) Information	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa					

In response to applicant's amendment dated June 27, 2005. The following action is taken:

Applicant's is respectfully asked to correct the limitation "equal to <u>or</u> between 4,000 nm and 7,000 nm" in claims 1 and 14. The limitation equal does not correspond to a range.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 9, 10, and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by EP 0973160.

The reference shows a different type optical disk reading apparatus comprising: a first and second laser sources for reading different type disks 310, 320, a holographic light coupler to separately guide the first and second lights 330, an optical path changing element 340, photo detection means wherein the holographic element is disposed between the light sources and the optical path changing element as shown in fig 3.

With respect to the limitation of claim 10 see elements 310 and 320.

With respect to the limitation of claim 20-see fig 3.

Art Unit: 2655

Claims 14, 21 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

None of the cited prior art shows or teaches the use of a polarizing beam splitter and a ¼ wavelength plate within the claimed apparatus nor the use of the transmittance percentage as claimed nor the use of a holographic light coupler having the maximum pattern depth ranging between 4,000-7,000 nm.

Claims 1-8, 11-13, 15-19, 22, 23, 25-30 and 32-34 are allowed.

Applicant's arguments filed June 27, 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument drawn to claim 9. The inherent feature of the objective lens having a concave surface and a second convex surface read on the added limitation.

Applicant's submission of the requirements for the joint research agreement prior art exclusion under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) on June 27, 2005 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection under 37 CFR 1.109(b) presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(3). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/877,248

Art Unit: 2655

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL Z HINDI at

telephone number (571) 272-7618.

RIMARY EXAMINER

OUP SENO

LUB

Page 4